

Remarks/Arguments:

Language in claims 5 and 7 was objected to because of informalities. Claims 5 and 7 are hereby amended as suggested in the Office Action.

Claims 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action indicates that the limitation "during which action" in claim 5 is unclear. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 5 as amended is clear and concise.

The Office Action indicates that term "the result of the comparison" in claim 8 is unclear. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 8 as amended is clear and concise.

It is respectfully submitted that each of the pending claims is in condition for allowance. Early reconsideration and allowance of each of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes an interview, either personal or telephonic, will advance the prosecution of this matter, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner get in contact with the undersigned to arrange the same.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert P. Seitter, Reg. No. 24,856
Glenn M. Massina, Reg. No. 40,081
Attorneys for Applicants

RPS/GMM/dhm

Dated: November 21, 2007

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700
--

The Director is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. **18-0350** for any additional fees, or any underpayment or credit for overpayment in connection herewith.